


DAVID A. THOMPSON  
STECKLOW & THOMPSON

217 CENTRE STREET, 6TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10013  
TEL: (212) 566-8000  
FAX: (212) 202-4952  
DAVE@SCTLAW.NYC

November 12, 2015

**BY ECF**

Chief Judge Loretta A. Preska  
District Court of the Southern District of New York  
500 Pearl St.  
New York, NY 10007



Re: Pluma v. The City of New York, 13-cv-2017 (LAP)

Dear Honorable Chief Judge Preska:

This correspondence concerns the briefing schedule related to docket entries 75, 78, 79 and 81. During the conference call of 11/9/2015, this Court ordered that a supplemental briefing schedule be agreed upon by the parties, and that the Court anticipated the schedule to be a short one.

Plaintiff has asked Defendants to submit their moving brief in November. That would mean a moving schedule of at most twenty-one (21) days from the date of the conference. The Defendants have submitted a lengthier briefing schedule of:

1. Defendant Amended Notice and Supplemental Memo of Law –December 9 (30 days)
2. Plaintiff's Opposition - December 23 (14 days)
3. Defendant's Reply – January 7, 2016 (15 days)

Quite simply, Plaintiff can abide by this schedule but notes an objection for the record to this lengthy briefing schedule.

SO ORDERED

*Loretta A. Preska*  
LORETTA A. PRESKA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
cc: ACC Andrew Lucas, Esq.  
100 Church Street  
New York, N.Y. 10007

11/17/15

Respectfully Submitted,

*David Thompson*  
David Thompson